

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED
WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST-CLASS MAIL IN AN
ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS,
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231, ON OCTOBER 15, 2001

Karen K. Varley
AGENT/ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

October 15, 2001
DATE

RECEIVED

FEB 19 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Docket No. 0803

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Habben, et al.

Date: October 15, 2001

Serial No.: 09/545,334

Group Art Unit: 1635

Filed April 7, 2000

Examiner: M. Schmidt

For: "Regulated Expression of Genes in Plant Seeds"

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Please reconsider the Restriction Requirement mailed August 14, 2001, in light of
the remarks below. Said Restriction Requirement states as follows:

"This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct
species of the claimed invention: (1) any cytokinin modulating gene and
(2) any species of plant. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect
a single disclosed species for each of (1) and (2) for prosecution on the merits
to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be
allowable. Currently, all claims are generic."

TRAVERSAL OF RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Applicants respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement for the reasons
below.

#13/K.T.
2/22
Election

As stated in MPEP 806.04(e), species are *usually but not always* independent as disclosed. (underscore added) Disclosure of commonality of operation, function, or effect must be considered in determining the independence of the embodiments.

The Examiner's attention is drawn to page 4, lines 14-28. There it is clear that the invention provides "several useful genetic constructs and methods to modulate effective levels of cytokinin in plant seeds, developing plant seeds, and related maternal tissues." Thus, these several constructs and methods, and products of the methods, share commonality of operation, function, and effect. In fact, the last sentence states, "A combination of both approaches [anabolic and catabolic] is also contemplated by this invention." This implies use of *more than one* cytokinin-modulating gene to achieve the claimed effect. Further support for this commonality is provided, for example, at page 7, where a definition is provided for any "cytokinin metabolic enzyme-binding molecule." See also page 15, line 32, through page 16, line 7, including this statement: "Accordingly, any structural gene, the regulated expression of which has the effect of enhancing the effective levels of cytokinin in plants, particularly seeds, is useful for the practice of this invention." Thus, commonality of operation, function, or effect among the embodiments is disclosed, and restriction to a single cytokinin modulating gene is improper.

As to restriction to a single species of plant, the Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner's attention to page 32, lines 21-31, where a multitude of plant genera are disclosed. As stated therein (lines 32-34), one of skill in the art would recognize the applicability of the claimed methods and constructs to a wide range of plant species. Thus, commonality of operation, function, or effect among the embodiments is disclosed, and restriction to a single species of plant is improper.

Under MPEP 806.04(f), claims to be restricted to different species must be mutually exclusive. The general test as to when claims are restricted, respectively, to different species is the fact that one claim recites limitations which under the disclosure are found in a first species but not in a second, while a second claim recites limitations disclosed only for the second species and not the first. This is frequently expressed by saying that claims to be restricted to different species must recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In the instant case, the methods, plants, and DNA constructs claimed comprise interchangeable elements for common operation, function, or

Serial No. 09/545,334
Group Art Unit: 1635

effect, i.e., to modulate cytokinin expression in plant seeds. Therefore, the claims are not mutually exclusive and their restriction is improper.

For the reasons stated above, Applicants submit that the restriction was improper and should, therefore, be withdrawn or modified.

PROVISIONAL ELECTION OF SPECIES

For purposes of compliance with 37 CFR 1.143, Applicants provisionally elect, with traverse, (1) the isopentenyl transferase gene, *ipt*; and (2) the plant species *Zea mays*.

Claims readable on these provisionally-elected species are: 1-5, 7-11, 13, 14, 17, 18, 20-24, 26, 27, 30, 32-36, 38-39, 42-47, with appropriate renumbering and corrections of dependency to be made. Separate sheets are attached marked "version with markings to show changes made" which reflect the provisional election of species made herein.

No change of inventorship is required due to this election.

Respectfully submitted,



Karen K. Varley
Agent for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 45,751

PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL, INC.
Corporate Intellectual Property
7100 N.W. 62nd Avenue
P.O. Box 1000
Johnston, Iowa 50131-1000
Phone: (515) 334-6780
Facsimile: (515) 334-6883